| Item | Resident name | Question   | Answers  |
|------|---------------|--|--|
| 8    | Mr Dix        | I was assured you were going to repeal the gagging rules<br>introduced by the previous regime, specifically, that there<br>would be a 30 minute period to ask multiple questions and<br>that people could personally address the committees.<br>Combined with the removal of residents forums, it looks like<br>resident participation is something you want to avoid. Please<br>will you think again about resident engagement, reintroduce<br>the constitution rules in place in 2018 regarding questions<br>and speeches to committees and think again about the<br>residents forums because the evidence from last week<br>suggests amalgamating them with area committees simply<br>doesn't work. | Thank you for your question, John. I have<br>asked for a wide review of the Council's<br>approach to community engagement and<br>resident participation so that we can deliver<br>on our manifesto pledge to put<br>communities (and sustainability) at the<br>heart of what the Council does. The<br>resident participation rules in the<br>Constitution will be included in that review,<br>however, I sense that you are keen for us<br>to make good on our commitment to scrap<br>the 'gagging rule' that was imposed by the<br>Conservatives which restricted the number<br>of questions each resident could ask to 1<br>per agenda item, with only two questions<br>allowed orally on each agenda item at the<br>meeting itself. |
|      |               |  | I reiterated yesterday on the Eddie Nestor<br>Radio Show that I would get rid of this<br>gagging rule. So, I will be moving a motion<br>at this evening's Constitution & General<br>Purposes Committee to allow residents to<br>submit unlimited written questions to<br>Committee meetings which will be<br>published for transparency, and to<br>reinstate the 30-minute period to allow<br>councillors and officers to answer as many<br>of these questions orally at the meeting<br>during that period with one oral   |

| will alternate between residents that submit<br>questions on the night to ensure as many<br>residents as possible get to ask questions<br>at the meeting.  |
|--|
| Any questions that are not answered in the 30-minute period will be at the discretion of the Chair to respond to in writing after the meeting.   |
| We will review how this works in the wider engagement and participation review.  |
| And rather than reintroduce 'Public<br>Comments' I want to look at establishing a<br>system of Deputations, but this will be<br>looked at in the wider review.   |
| In addition to this we have manifesto commitments to introduce regular Leader Listens and Public Question Time events.   |
| The Resident Forums under the<br>Conservatives did not work well or reach a<br>wide range of people - often with more<br>councillors and officers present than<br>members of the public. If we are going to<br>put residents at the heart of decision<br>making, then they should be able to bring |

|   |             |   | Area Committees where councillors can<br>take quick decisions on them.<br>We will continue to develop how this works<br>in practice - we've only had one cycle of<br>them so far. |
|---|-------------|---|---|
| 8 | Ms Musgrove | At a council meeting on the 30th July 2019, the Chair<br>made a speech protesting against the approval by<br>Tory councillors of new 'gagging rules' intended to<br>silence challenge from residents and local bloggers,<br>and to prevent them from taking part in any<br>meaningful engagement with their elected<br>representatives. This has raised a real barrier to<br>transparency and accountability, and devalued the<br>process of local democracy. The Chair has given<br>private assurances that the gagging laws will be<br>dropped, at this meeting, yet here we are, faced with<br>measures that continue the Tories' illiberal actions and<br>their suppression of public scrutiny.<br>How can he justify this? | Thank you for your question, Theresa. I<br>hope I've answered it in my reply to John<br>Dix.  |